

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/723,615	11/27/2000	Jack Cheng	GRQ-00100	8414	
28960	7590 05/06/2003				
HAVERSTOCK & OWENS LLP			EXAMINER		
SUNNYVALI	OLFE ROAD CA 94086		NGUYEN, XI	NGUYEN, XUAN LAN T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3683		
			DATE MAILED: 05/06/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/723,615	CHENG ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lan Nguyen	3683				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap						
THE REPLY FILED 10 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	HIS APPLICATION IN CONDITIC avoid abandonment of this applic (1) a timely filed amendment whic	ON FOR ALLOWANCE. ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in				
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing d b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of thi no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expir ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W. 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The state of the second filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the O	s Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth relater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF dof extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply office later than three months after the ma	ng date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP FR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellan 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C 	t's Brief must be filed within the p FR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:					
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) $oxed{oxed}$ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ld be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
5. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	s:					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,14,16,17,143 and 144</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a)∭ approved or b)∭ disapp	proved by the Examiner.				
9. ☑ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 8.						
9. ☑ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 8. 10. ☑ Other: Interview Summary						
XM		Ales Frinker Examiner				
C Datest and Trademat Office		\				





Continuation of 2. NOTE: Newly submitted claims 145-148 are directed towards non-elected species. Hence, they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. Amended claims 1 and 143 did not overcome Massa. In further reviewing of Massa, there are no disclosure of a vertical displacement of element 2 nor of creating an air cushion between elements 2 and 1. In fact, element 2 and 1 are always in contact because of the central groove as illustrated in figure 2 of Massa.